AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	
			J	ı	1 4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT	NO.(If applicable)
0001	31-Aug-2004				
6. ISSUED BY CODE	W912P6	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6)	СО	DE	
U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, CHICAGO 111 CANAL STREET CHICAGO IL 60606		See Item 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State		, State and Zip Code)	X 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912P6-04-R-0009		
		>	9B. DATED (S 02-Aug-2004	SEE ITEM 1	1)
				FCONTRAC	CT/ORDER NO.
			10B. DATED	(SEE ITEM	13)
CODE	FACILITY CO	DE	ITATIONS		
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set for			_	is not exter	nded
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment		<del>-</del>			naca.
(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the second of	reference to the solicitati THE RECEIPT OF OFFER amendment you desire to cl	on and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR IS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIE nange an offer already submitted, such change may	ACKNOWLEDGMED MAY RESULT IN be made by telegram of	ENT TO BE	i;
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION I	OATA (If required)				
		O MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/CT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITE			
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PUI CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A	RSUANT TO: (Specif			RE MADE IN	N THE
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT. office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FOI				ich as change	es in paying
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT	IS ENTERED INTO	PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:			
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification an	d authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to si	gn this document and return	opies to the issuir	ng office.	
<ol> <li>DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODII where feasible.)</li> </ol>	FICATION (Organize	d by UCF section headings, including sol	icitation/contract	subject matt	er
SOLICITATION - REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL INDIANA	NO. W912P6-04-R-00	09, PROJECT TITLE: CADY MARSH DRA	INAGE DITCH TU	INNEL, GRIF	FITH,
1. By way of this Amendment 0001, the afor	ementioned Solicitati	on is hereby amended as delineated on	Page 2 of this Ar	mendment.	
2. This Amendment provides answers to co	ntractor's inquires su	ubmitted during the period of 2 August 2	004 through 31 A	August 2004	
3. The Point of Contact for this Amendment	is Regina G. Blair at	(312) 846-5371.			
- THE DESCRIPTION	OF THIS AMENDMEN	NT CONTINUES ON PAGE 2 -			
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the					int\
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type	or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CON		гісек (тур	e or print)
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNE	TEL:  16B. UNITED STATES OF AMER	EMAIL:	160	C. DATE SIGNED
13B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	ISC. DATE SIGNE		ica		
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	-	(Signature of Contracting Offi	cer)	3	1-Aug-2004

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following items are applicable to this Amendment:

## **CHANGES TO THE SOLICITATION:**

**CHANGE 1**: At SECTION 00010 - CONTRACT STANDARD FORM 1442 (Page 1 of the Solicitation) - The required proposal response date is changed from 07 Sep 2004 to 10 Sep 2004. The time of proposal submission remains at 4:30p.m. Local time.

**CHANGE 2**: At SECTION 00100 – INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS & NOTICES TO OFFERORS – PROCEDURES FOR SUBMITTAL OF OFFERS – The second paragraph under Paragraph 1.5 - GENERAL PROPOSAL INFORMATION is deleted in its entirety as shown below.

All data submitted in response to this RFP must be clearly reflected in the offeror's interpretation of criteria furnished in the RFP or that it has obtained or developed data by its own efforts. Material indicated in the plans and specifications but not included in the offeror's proposal will be assumed to be included and a part of the proposal. All alternate proposals shall be specifically marked as such and separated in the submittal.

**CHANGE 3**: At SECTION 00100 – PROPOSAL EVALUATION SYSTEM - (EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD) – Add an additional paragraph, to be included as "c." under Paragraph 1.4 TECHNICAL ACCEPTABILITY EVALUATION, as shown below.

c. Go/No Go Ratings: A proposal must satisfy all the evaluation factors, or it will be considered ineligible for contract award. A technical proposal is considered technically acceptable when all evaluation factors are rated as a "GO."

**CHANGE 4**: At SECTION 00100 – PROPOSAL EVALUATION SYSTEM - (EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD), the text of Paragraph 3.1 AWARD is hereby replaced with the following text:

The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). Therefore, the offeror's initial proposal should contain the offeror's best terms from a cost or price and technical standpoint. The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. Award will be made to the responsive and responsible offeror submitting the lowest evaluated priced, technically acceptable proposal. Offers that are not technically acceptable will not be considered.

## **CONTRACTOR'S INQUIRIES:**

QUESTION 1: On drawing E-02 the Mini Power Center shows 240-120/240volt. Is this correct. It appears as the primary power coming into the power center is 240 volt and you want the secondary to be 240V.

ANSWER 1: The service voltage from Northern Indiana Public Service Company is expected to be 240V, three phase, 3 wire, 60 hertz to provide power to the permanent tunnel dewatering pumps housed in the outlet shaft. To obtain 120V for miscellaneous equipment, a transformer is required with a 240V, single phase primary. The transformer secondary is rated 120/240V single phase although the 240V single phase is not being used.

QUESTION 2: [We] (Contractor's name purposely omitted for privacy and procurement integrity reasons) have experience in constructing tunnels through Earth Pressure Balance (EPB) methods (without the requirement for dewatering) with a single pass bolted and gasketed segmental lining. If the final inside diameter of segments is the required 10'0, will this method of construction be acceptable?

ANSWER 2: An alternate method will not be accepted. Through a comprehensive design analysis for this project, coupled with an assessment of the overall cost considerations, the Government determined that the two-pass method, as specified in the contract documents, presented the most economical and prudent method to construct the tunnel. While we appreciate your suggestion regarding a segmental lining, a one-pass method of construction using a segmental lining is not acceptable and offerors must provide a final lining that is either cast-in-place concrete or precast reinforced concrete pipe. To that end, all proposals must be submitted in accordance with Section 00100 of the Solicitation without any proposed new methods of constructing the tunnel.

QUESTION 3: [We] (Contractor's name purposely omitted for privacy and procurement integrity reasons) think that a good [project] alternative could be excavation by a Bessac TBM with a one-pass lining based on precast reinforced concrete segments.

ANSWER 3: An alternate method will not be accepted. Through a comprehensive design analysis for this project, coupled with an assessment of the overall cost considerations, the Government determined that the two-pass method, as specified in the contract documents, presented the most economical and prudent method to construct the tunnel. While we appreciate your suggestion regarding a CSM Bessac TB method of construction, it will not be accepted. As stated in the Contract documents, Offerors must provide a final lining that is either cast-in-place concrete or precast reinforced concrete pipe. To that end, all proposals must be submitted in accordance with Section 00100 of the Solicitation without any proposed new methods of constructing the tunnel.

QUESTION 4: Is the replacement of Arbogast Avenue, including curb & gutter, required under this contract regardless of the settlement that occurs?

ANSWER 4: Arbogast Avenue shall be repaved along the entire tunnel alignment by the Contractor regardless of settlement. The curb shall be replaced by and at the cost of the Contractor if settlement is greater than the maximum allowable settlement as described in the last paragraph of Section 01270 Part 1.10.1.

QUESTION 5. If the restoration of Arbogast is not mandatory, what criteria will decide restoration/replacement is necessary, and who will make that decision?

ANSWER 5: See Answer to Question 1. The settlement monitoring data and the baseline survey and post construction inspection results will be used by the Contracting Officer Representative to make the decision regarding any curb replacement.

QUESTION 6: There are no bid items addressing pavement or curb replacement. Will the Owner be adding these bid items to address this issue?

ANSWER 6: No. The cost for repaving Arbogast Avenue along the entire tunnel alignment needs to be included in Bid Item 0007 TUNNEL EXCAVATION AND INITIAL SUPPORT. There will be no bid item for replacing any damaged curbs caused by settlement above the maximum allowed limit, as this will be done at the Contractor's expense.

QUESTION 7: Will the answer to these questions be incorporated in the amendment being issued to all prospective bidders?

ANSWER 7: Yes.